



Winnetka Neighborhood Council SPECIAL GENERAL MEETING AGENDA

Tuesday, April 18, 2017, 6:30 PM

Winnetka Convention Center,
20122 Vanowen St. Winnetka, CA 91306

(Located next to Winnetka Bowl, ground floor of hotel)

board@winnetknc.com | www.winnetkaNC.com | @winnetkaNC



President	Vice-President	Secretary	Treasurer	Parliamentarian
JJ Popowich	Benjamin Hill	Matthew Gregorchuk	Jaime Pelaez	Franklin Solis
Board Members				
Steven Fuhrman	Erick Lace	Eric Lewis	Carlos Perez	Tess Reyes-Dunn
Tamicka Green	John Poer	Ajantha Sriramya	David Uebersax	Jonathan Lewis

The Winnetka Neighborhood Council holds its regular meetings on the second Tuesday of every month and may also call any additional required special meetings in accordance with its Bylaws and the Brown Act. The agenda for a regular or special meeting is posted for public review within Winnetka, 91306, at the following location: Winnetka Recreation Center, 8401 Winnetka Ave., CA 91306 and are available on our website at www.winnetkanc.com. You can also receive our agendas via email by subscribing to L.A. City's Early Notification System at the website below:

<http://www.lacity.org/government/Subscriptions/NeighborhoodCouncils/index.htm>

The public may comment on a specific item listed on this agenda when the Board considers that item. When the Board considers the agenda item entitled "Public Comments," the public has the right to comment on any matter that is not specifically listed on this agenda yet is within the Board's jurisdiction. The public is requested to fill out a "Speaker Card" to address the Board on any agenda item before the Board takes an action. The Speaker Card ensures that each speaker's name is complete and correct in the meeting's Minutes. Public Comment is limited to 3 minutes per speaker, but the Board has the discretion to modify the amount of time for any speaker. Before a Board vote on any item, any member of the public who has not filled out a Speaker Card will be accorded 1 minute to make a statement. This period will last no longer than 5 minutes total.

-
1. **MEET & GREET** (Refreshments) [10 min] _____ # of Guests
 2. **CONVENE MEETING**
 3. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE** [1 min]
 4. **BOARD MEMBER ROLL CALL** [2 min]

Board Member	Present	Absent	Excused
Ajantha Sriramya	X		
Carlos Perez	X		
David Uebersax	X		
Eric Lewis	X		
Erick Lace	X		
Franklin Solis	X		
Jaime Pelaez		X	
JJ Popowich	X		
John Poer	X		
Jonathan Lewis	X		
Matthew Gregorchuk		X	
Steven Fuhrman	X		
Tamicka Green	X		
Tess Reyes-Dunn	X		
Total	12	2	0

5. **MEETING RULES & ANNOUNCEMENTS** [2 min]
 - a. Mr. Popowich explained the meeting rules.

b. Ms. Reyes-Dunn announced that the LAPD is having an open house at the Topanga Station on April 29th. This is a breakfast to support the cadets at Topanga Station.

6. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES** [5 min]

a. January 2017

i. Mr. Popowich noted that the Board will need to approve the January and March meeting minutes at a future meeting since the Secretary was not in attendance.

7. **GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVES** [5 min each, 20 min max]

a. Updates: The latest information from representatives of the offices of Mayor Garcetti, Councilman Bob Blumenfeld (CM BB), Congressman Brad Sherman (CMN BS), State Senator Fran Pavley (S FP), State Assemblyman Matt Dababneh (ASM MD), the LAPD, LAFD, LAUSD, Dept. of Neighborhood Empowerment (DONE), Board of Neighborhood Commissioners (BONC), and any other local, state, or federal agencies in attendance.

b. No Pubic Representatives in attendance. Mr. Uebersax commented that he did speak to Councilmember Blumenfeld's office and he may try to attend our Spring Clean event on April 22, 2017.

8. **PUBLIC COMMENT:** Comments from the public on any items not specifically listed on this agenda. Board Members should not address or discuss these comments other than to direct matters to the appropriate Winnetka NC committee or other government agency. [3 min each, 20 min max]

a. A stakeholder commented that Brad Sherman is having a Townhall Meeting Saturday, April 22, 2017 from 2:00 – 3:30 at Reseda High School.

b. Mr. Sattler commented he would like to see the Winnetka NC to discuss free speech at Pierce College. He mentioned that he was recently prevented from handing out a copy of the Constitution. He was further advised he was restricted to a 600 sq. ft. portion of campus.

9. **STAKEHOLDER REQUESTS TO ADDRESS BOARD:** Stakeholders may request to speak to the Board by contacting the Secretary in advance – and time permitting. Each stakeholder will be given 5 minutes to address the Board. The Board may choose to take action or refer to a Committee for further discussion

10. **CONSENT CALENDAR:** Board members or members of the public may request any item listed on the consent calendar to be pulled for discussion. If you wish to pull an item for discussion please advise the Secretary before the meeting or request the item be pulled at the of discussion. [5 min]

11. **FINANCIAL CONSENT CALENDAR:** Board members or members of the public may request any item listed on the consent calendar to be pulled for discussion. If you wish to pull an item for discussion please advise the Secretary before the meeting or request the item be pulled at the time it is brought up for discussion. [15min]

a. Motion to approve Moore Business Results Invoices (Outreach):

1. March Activity expense: \$

b. Motion to approve Valentino's expenses for meeting food (Outreach):

1. March 14 meeting food expense: \$50.00

c. Motion to approve Extra Space Storage (Operational)

1. March Storage expense: \$200.00

ii. Mr. Lace made the following motion:

1. **WNC-041817-01:** The WNC approves the payment of items B-C above.

- iii. Mr. Fuhrman noted that he believes the cost went up to \$210 a month.
- iv. Mr. Lace asked if Mr. Johnathan Lewis, our Youth Representative could vote on this item or the MER.
- v. Mr. Popowich stated no, he cannot vote on financial items.
- vi. A Board member asked Mr. J. Lewis to introduce himself. He did so.
- vii. Mr. Lace modified his previous motion as follows:

WNC-041817-01: The WNC approves the payment of items B-C above, with the amendment that item C be in the amount of \$210.

- viii. Mr. E. Lewis seconded the motion.
- ix. Mr. Uebersax asked if we voted on February.
- x. Mr. Popowich said we will check on February. Mr. Popowich called

roll:

Board Member	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent	Not Eligible
Ajantha Sriramya	X				
Carlos Perez	X				
David Uebersax	X				
Eric Lewis	X				
Erick Lace	X				
Franklin Solis	X				
Jaime Pelaez				X	
JJ Popowich	X				
John Poer	X				
Jonathan Lewis					X
Matthew Gregorchuck				X	
Steven Fuhrman	X				
Tamicka Green	X				
Tess Reyes-Dunn	X				
Vacant					
TOTALS:	11	0	0	2	1

- xi. Motion passes 11-0-0

12. NON-CONSENT CALENDAR

- a. Update on the 2017 Senior Symposium scheduled for May 20, 2017. (Solis)[10 Min]
 - i. Mr. Solis and Ms. Reyes-Dunn will be representing the NC at the event.
 - ii. Mr. Solis asked for assistance with getting the items needed to display at the event and handouts.
 - iii. Mr. E. Lewis will assist Mr. Solis
 - iv. Mr. Uebersax also commented that he needs access to the unit to get supplies for the Spring Clean Event. He plans to set up at 9 AM.
 - v. Mr. Lace will coordinate with Mr. Solis and Mr. Uebersax to get the supplies.

- b. Update on the Winnetka NC newsletter. [10 Min]

- i. Mr. Popowich asked for an update on the newsletter.
 - ii. Mr. E. Lewis shared a copy of the newsletter that went out and was delivered this week. He pointed out the contents.
 - iii. Mr. Solis asked if we have extras.
 - iv. Mr. Uebersax and Mr. E. Lewis will pick up the extras for use at events.
 - v. There was a discussion about where the items can be shared.
 - vi. Mr. Popowich asked about the next edition.
 - vii. Mr. E. Lewis said we will do one more newsletter this year as per the budget.
 - viii. Ms. Reyes-Dunn asked if we can include items about earthquake preparedness.
 - ix. Mr. Popowich said that we will include items. He said that items were asked for and we included what we could include.
- c. Discussion and possible action regarding modifying the Winnetka NC budget to account for an additional \$5000.00 allocated to Neighborhood Council's by the City Council. The funds must be allocated and a revised budget approved in order to be used.
 - i. Mr. Popowich explained the source of the new funds and what is required to be able to access them. This includes modifying the budget. He also explained because the Treasurer was not in attendance the Board could not vote to modify the budget at this time and we may not have access to the funds for some time.
 - ii. Mr. E. Lewis proposed taking \$4900 from our current budget and adding it to this amount to put forward to use to trim trees or repair curbs. He feels this is a good use of funds and something we can accomplish given our resources.
 - iii. Mr. Lace suggested that we reserve some of these funds to get J. Lewis his shirt and business cards.
 - iv. Mr. Fuhrman commented that we should have funds set aside for this use.
 - v. Mr. Perez asked if we received any commentary from DONE regarding on his eligibility.
 - vi. Mr. Popowich commented that we had not and we will need to follow up on this issue.
 - vii. Mr. Lace commented that he is now a member of the other groups that meet here. He is a member of the Chamber of Commerce.
 - viii. Mr. Uebersax said he's not sure how the proposal from Mr. E. Lewis would work out given that the previous funds was not given to the Winnetka – just set aside.
 - ix. Mr. Lace and Mr. E. Lewis commented it was the same philosophy.
 - x. Mr. Poer asked if we could clarify where we would plant or trim trees.
 - xi. Mr. E. Lewis commented it was just to trim trees.
 - xii. Mr. Popowich asked what Mr. E. Lewis wanted to cut from the existing budget.
 - xiii. Mr. E. Lewis commented that we would use the funds allocated for one of the newsletters in this budget.
 - xiv. Mr. Perez followed up on Mr. Poer's question regarding where they would be trimmed.
 - xv. Mr. Uebersax commented the PWT maintains a list of what has been

completed and what hasn't.

xvi. Mr. Fuhrman commented that many of those have been done in the year by the City.

xvii. Mr. Uebersax commented that some sidewalks were done based on our pointing it out and not on Winnetka's funds.

xviii. Mr. Poer asked if we could get our webmaster to solicit the community for suggestions.

xix. Mr. Uebersax also mentioned that we have access to look at some of the My LA 311 App submissions. We may be able to access that data and reach out to the community.

xx. Mr. E. Lewis said it was a good idea.

xxi. Mr. Popowich asked if the Board would like to vote now and give the PWT Committee the responsibility for determining where.

xxii. Ms. Reyes-Dunn asked who cuts them.

xxiii. Several responded that the City does it.

xxiv. Mr. E. Lewis makes the following motion:

WNC-041817-02: The Winnetka NC will allocate the \$5000 allocated by the City Council to each NC and modify the budget to move \$4900 from Outreach – Newsletter budget for this FY to create \$9,900 to be used for the purpose of tree trimming and side walk repair.

xxv. Mr. Solis seconded the motion.

xxvi. Mr. Uebersax asked if there are other budget items that need to be re-allocated or if there requests we haven't discussed yet. Do we want to move all this over now or use it for other contingencies?

xxvii. Mr. Lace commented that he is concerned about other items on the agenda and the possibility that we may want to get involved in disaster preparedness. He does not believe we have considered any of that yet.

xxviii. Mr. E. Lewis commented that we have already considered it.

xxix. Mr. Popowich said that if the event is in October, then it is not relative to this discussion because it's not part of this FY. That would have to be considered next year.

xxx. Mr. Fuhrman said that we have to spend it before the year is over. Will we be able to get this done this year?

xxxi. Mr. Lace asked if it was allocated then is considered spent.

xxxii. Mr. Popowich said that the PWT could discuss it at the next meeting.

xxxiii. Mr. Uebersax said he may not be able to do it at the next meeting, but may be able to get something together by the next Board meeting. He also said using the webmaster there may be additional costs associated with it.

xxxiv. Mr. Lewis accepted a friendly amendment and the motion was modified as follows:

WNC-041817-02: The Winnetka NC will allocate the \$5000 allocated by the City Council to each NC and modify the budget to move \$4900 from Outreach – Newsletter budget for this FY to create \$9,900 to be used for the purpose of tree trimming and side walk repair, and related outreach expenses if needed.

xxxv. There was a discussion about how we can reach stakeholders. Several Board members discussed options.

xxxvi. Mr. Solis seconded the amended motion.

Board Member	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent	Not Eligible
Ajantha Sriramy	X				
Carlos Perez	X				
David Uebersax	X				
Eric Lewis	X				
Erick Lace	X				
Franklin Solis	X				
Jaime Pelaez					
JJ Popowich	X				
John Poer	X				
Jonathan Lewis					
Matthew Gregorchuck					
Steven Fuhrman	X				
Tamicka Green	X				
Tess Reyes-Dunn	X				
Vacant					
TOTALS:	11	0	0	2	1

xxxvii. Motion passes 11-0-0

d. Discussion and possible action to appoint Tess Reyes-Dunn to be the Winnetka NC representative assigned to the Valley Alliance of Neighborhood Councils (VANC). While it is not required that a representative be appointed - any Board member may attend - the Winnetka NC has a tradition of appointing a Board member.

- i. Ms. Reyes-Dunn commented that she would be open to attending with Mr. Bailey, but she cannot drive at night and may not be up to it.
- ii. Mr. Solis asked how often they meet.
- iii. Mr. Popowich stated that it is the second Thursday of each month.
- iv. Mr. Solis reminded the Board that he is the appointed rep and he couldn't attend for a period of time due to conflicts but those conflicts have ended.

13. **TREASURER'S REPORT** (Pelaez/Uebersax) Update, discussion, and possible action regarding the status of Winnetka NC finances, the WNC checking account, and the Treasurer's bank account Access, plus any additional financial reports as needed other than the MERs.

- a. Discussion, review, and approve any outstanding Monthly Expense Reports (MER) July 2016 - March 2017
- b. Discussion and possible action regarding the Treasurer position. We will be discussing the status of the Treasurer and whether or not we need to appoint a new Treasurer.

- i. Mr. Lace agreed we need a Treasurer and seconded Mr. Popowich's assessment. He understands Mr. Pelaez's situation but we need to have a Treasurer. He was hoping the Asst. Treasurer could step in.

- ii. Mr. Solis asked if Mr. Uebersax could take on the Treasurer role and we appoint a new second.
- iii. Mr. Uebersax said he could not commit at this time.
- iv. Mr. Lace asked if we could approve it and he can check to see if he could do it.
- v. Mr. Uebersax and Mr. Fuhrman said we should deal with the second signatory first.
- vi. Mr. E. Lewis suggested that an Executive Committee would meet with the new Treasurer and assist them.
- vii. Mr. Popowich commented that he would be happy to meet with any one appointed.
- viii. Mr. Uebersax commented he really cannot commit to this at this time. He suggested that we call a meeting of the Finance Committee and see if the Treasurer can come to the meeting can we can work it.
- ix. Mr. Popowich pointed out that we need a Treasurer, even if we do this.
- x. Mr. Lace commented he would be the second signatory. He went on to state we really need to do this as it's difficult to get the funding reinstated.
- xi. Mr. Popowich floated removing Mr. Pelaez and appointing Uebersax as Treasurer, and Mr. Lace as second signatory.
- xii. Mr. Uebersax commented that he would prefer to have the Finance Committee meet and address the issue.
- xiii. There was a discussion about who was on the Committee.
- xiv. Mr. Lace commented that the Committee can meet but we need someone as a Treasurer regardless.
- xv. There was a discussion about how the process of getting a Treasurer up to speed.
- xvi. Ms. Green asked if it would make sense to have someone who has already been trained be the Treasurer.
- xvii. Mr. Uebersax pointed out that the system is changing. He said that it would be best to get the Committee together and see if we can get Mr. Pelaez to come to the Committee and maybe we can move some action forward.
- xviii. Mr. Lace suggested the President try to reach out and get a better confirmation of Mr. Pelaez's situation.
- xix. Mr. Popowich commented that actions have to speak louder than words and he hasn't been here.
- xx. Mr. Lace asked if we really want to go another month. Given his situation he's not available.
- xxi. Mr. Fuhrman commented that the question is really we need to get someone to vote in.
- xxii. Mr. Uebersax asked if we could call it as a Special meeting for the Committee meeting and see if we can recruit a Treasurer and take action at that meeting if we need to do it.
- xxiii. Ms. Green asked how long it will take before DONE shuts.
- xxiv. There was a discussion about what steps to take but ultimately the Board could not take action on this item.

14. REGULAR COMMITTEE REPORTS & MOTIONS

a. **Planning & Land Use Management Committee (PLUM) Report** (Popowich) [45 min]

NOTICE: The PLUM Committee Meeting: 3rd Tuesday of each month at 7 PM

(Location to be decided)

- i. Discussion and possible action on a recommendation by the PLUM Committee for the Board to reject the proposed project located at 7111 N. Winnetka Ave without prejudice.

- 1. The proposal of the development of a new 4 story mixed condo/apartment complex with underground parking at 7111 N. Winnetka Ave., Winnetka CA. The proposed project will include 9 condominium units and 151 apartments. The currently Planning Department applications include a Vesting Tentative Track. The property is currently zoned (Q) C2-1VL-RIO and P1-VL-RIO – a commercial zoning (with parking zones) and would require a zoning change for this use. (LA City Planning Case #: VTT-74911 and ENV-2017-566-EAF).

(PLUM Motion approved: 5-0)

- ii. Mr. Perez said that he really want to see the NC put conditions on the project. He feels if we continue to just reject projects they will go around the NC.
- iii. Mr. Fuhrman commented that the developer and owner both acknowledged that they would not get past the NC. We rejected it without prejudice in case they want to come back. He talked about some of the suggestions that the Committee recommended. He felt that they understood it.
- iv. Mr. Popowich made the following motion:

WNC-041817-03: The Winnetka NC approves the PLUM Committee’s recommendation to reject the proposed project located at 7111 N. Winnetka Ave without prejudice (LA City Planning Case #: VTT-74911 and ENV-2017-566-EAF).

- v. NEED TO KNOW WHO SECONDED IT

Board Member	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent	Not Eligible
Ajantha Sriramya	X				
Carlos Perez	X				
David Uebersax	X				
Eric Lewis	X				
Erick Lace	X				
Franklin Solis	X				
Jaime Pelaez				X	
JJ Popowich	X				
John Poer	X				
Jonathan Lewis	X				
Matthew Gregorchuck				X	
Steven Fuhrman	X				
Tamicka Green	X				
Tess Reyes-Dunn	X				
Vacant					
TOTALS:	12	0	0	2	

- vi. Discussion and possible action on proposed Transit Oriented Communities (TOC) Affordable Housing Incentive Program. Pursuant to the voter-approved Measure JJJ, Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) 12.22 A.31 was added to create the Transit Oriented

Communities (TOC) Affordable Housing Incentive Program (TOC Program). The Measure requires the Department of City Planning to create TOC Affordable Housing Incentive Program Guidelines (TOC Guidelines) that apply to all Housing Developments located within a one-half mile radius of a Major Transit Stop. These proposed guidelines will be presented to the City Planning Commission on May 11th. For more information please review the following:

Background Information: https://planning.lacity.org/ordinances/docs/TOC/TOC_FAQ.pdf

Proposed TOC: <https://planning.lacity.org/ordinances/docs/TOC/TOCGuidelines.pdf>

1. The PLUM Committee recommends the Board submit a Community Impact statement with the following comments:

1. The Winnetka NC objects to TOC Affordable Housing Incentive Area Section II (4). The WNC holds that Community Plan updates, amendments, including adoption of a Community Plan Implementation Overlay (CPIO), Transit Neighborhood Plan, or Specific Plan are meant to be an expression of what the Community will support in terms of development, density, and design. We recommend II(4) be replaced as follows:

A TOC Affordable Housing Incentive Area, or portion thereof, may be amended or replaced through a Community Plan update or amendment, including adoption of a Community Plan Implementation Overlay (CPIO), Transit Neighborhood Plan, or Specific Plan.

2. The WNC objects to the definition of a Major Transit Stop as defined in Section IX(5), as it is arbitrary and vague. The small change in the Metro service or bus service could increase or decrease density at the whim of the City. A plan cannot be built on a parameter that can be changed frequently. It would provide no basis for continuity and consistency.

3. The WNC objects to the application and approval procedure as outlined in Section IV (1)(a) & (b). The WNC feels that any TOC application must be processed in an open and transparent manner and must begin within the NC system. Therefore we recommend the application and approval procedure be replaced as follows:

- a. Any TOC application must first be referred to the corresponding NC for a public hearing at least 6 months before the application can advance to the next stage of the approval process.

- b. Any TOC application approved by an NC must then be heard in an open Planning Commission meeting duly noticed to the public with at least the standard 500 sq. ft. radius notice.

- c. The City will follow the standard appeal process for any rejected zoning variance application in case the Commission rejects the TOC application.

4. The WNC objects to Section IV (4) Design Conformance. The WNC believes

this section will prohibit the Community through the NC, or dilute the impact of a Community Plan, Specific Plan, or other covenants to work with developers to design projects that will be acceptable to the Community. Ex. An NC, or an existing Community Plan may call for a developer to set back the second floor of a project to lessen the impact on an adjacent property; and a developer could then could claim this would reduce the density, thereby obtaining a TOC incentive.

5. The WNC objects to Section V(2)(i)(ii)(iii) which allows reduced parking spaces in its entirety. AB 744 (2015) prohibits the City from requiring more than .5 spaces per bedroom and this is as low as the City should be allowed to go. The problem is that a married couple where both parties work often have a more than one car. Under the proposed section this couple would not be able to park their cars on the property. This is unrealistic, restrictive, and irresponsible.

6. The WNC objects to Section V(2)(c) Unbundling. The WNC believes this will create instances where the developer may sell or auction parking that is should be allocated to one unit to another unit. This may lead to a shortage of parking should the occupant of the first unit, who voluntarily relinquished the parking spot moves, and the new occupant requires a parking space. Parking spaces should be assigned to a specific unit.

7. The WNC objects to section VI(1) in principle. The WNC feels that the reduction in setbacks will create a concrete jungle that lacks appropriate green space. A reduction in greenspace leads to increased urban warming and climate change which in turn impacts the need for increased energy production to cool dwellings as well as has a negative impact on ascetics of a community.

8. The WNC objects to Section VI(g)(ii) in principle. The proposed guidelines supersede established Code (12.21.1 A.10). Guidelines should not supersede established codes. If the goal is to amend the code, then amend the code. Furthermore the WNC believes that setbacks are a critical feature that should not be impeded at the cost of more density to protect the privacy and quality of life of adjacent building.

(PLUM Motion approved: 5-0)

2. Mr. Lacey made the following motion:

WNC-041817-04: The Winnetka NC approves the recommendation to submit the Council File as described above.

3. Poer asked if we have to vote on each item for a CIS given there is a limit of 250 characters.

4. Mr. Popowich commented that we can vote on the entire motion and submit it in pieces.

5. Mr. Fuhrman seconded the motion.

Board Member	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent	Not Eligible
Ajantha Sriramya			X		
Carlos Perez			X		
David Uebersax	X				
Eric Lewis	X				
Erick Lace	X				
Franklin Solis	X				
Jaime Pelaez				X	
JJ Popowich	X				
John Poer	X				
Jonathan Lewis			X		
Matthew Gregorchuck				X	
Steven Fuhrman	X				
Tamicka Green			X		
Tess Reyes-Dunn			X		
Vacant					
TOTALS:	7	0	5	2	

6. Motion passes: 7-0-5

b. Public Safety Committee (PSC) Report (Pelaez) [15 min]

NOTICE: The PS Committee Meeting: TBD (Location to be decided)

- i. Mr. Solis reported that there was an open townhall meeting held at Taft High School and the new Asst. Chief was at the meeting and spoke about the break-ins in Woodland Hills. He said that these thieves are professional criminals who are going into the neighborhoods in high end vehicles. They are blending in. He said the LAPD is asking the community to report anything they see that is out of the ordinary. If a car is parked there that is not known then report it. If someone is out of town and you know it and you see someone around their house – report it. As Woodland Hills neighborhoods become more vigilant these thieves are moving into surrounding areas such as Canoga Park and other areas.

Other concerns raised are the homeless encampments. The LAPD was clear that these are really not something that the police can address unless there is a crime. He said that they suggest that the stakeholders work with Blumenfeld who is forming a committee to address these issue.

He commented that we did have a fair number of people show up. He also said that our SLO was going to attend our canceled meeting and let us know about these issues.

He also wanted to let the Board know that the criminals are not local. He stressed that these crimes are not restricted to high end houses any more. He is working with Sutter Middle School to arrange for a meeting location.

1. Mr. Fuhrman asked if there was a discussion about Air B&B's and how this impacts how stakeholders can determine who belongs in the community. He said the City supports these locations so they can get the funds and they park in the neighborhood and we seem to have no avenues to address the issue. He discussed examples of people sitting in cars and appearing to be smoking pot or on drugs. He asked what we can do.

2. Mr. Solis said this is becoming an issue. He feels the City has heard these concerns and is aware of it from other areas. He said he hasn't heard that within Winnetka. He can bring it up.

3. Mr. Fuhrman said he had several days in one week where someone was sitting in a car with trash bags up to the window. He said that it was parked there for 72 hours.

4. Mr. Solis said these are great topics and he feels having the Public Safety Committee meeting to discuss these issues. He asked if they broke any laws.

5. Mr. Lace commented in his area they have the same challenges. He said the SLO said that the LAPD has to hear the law has been broken. He said

6. Mr. Solis commented there is a Vehicle Dwelling Code LAMC 8502 that sets aside specific areas where they can live in their vehicles. Outside of those zones can be addressed by the LAPD where they can tell them they can't park there. They can stay in certain retail areas during specific day areas, but beyond those areas they have to move. He mentioned that this came around because there was a sex offender who was homeless and was parking near a school – he was homeless so he had nowhere to go so the City created the ordinance to be able to move them to a new location.

7. Ms. Reyes-Dunn said that she has called about Oso and Saticoy where there are a number of trucks who park there consistently. She said that she knows where they live. She has called DOT and they have told her they can't come out because they are too busy.

8. Mr. Fuhrman suggested she call and cite a specific code violation and they will come out. He too talked about examples.

9. Mr. Popowich commented about having to report this. He also commented this is why the PLUM keeps rejecting these massive projects. Finally he encouraged people to report someone smoking pot in the car, or if someone is on

10. Mr. Solis agreed. He suggested anyone interested in finding out where it is ok to park and live in a vehicle they can visit www.lacity.org/vehicledwelling for a map.

c. Public Works & Transportation Committee (PWT) Report (Uebersax) [25 min]

NOTICE: The PWT Committee Meeting: (Limerick)

- i. Mr. Uebersax commented they Committee did not have a quorum but still met to just discuss items and not take vote. He thanked the Committee members who did attend.

1. He recommended that we print and distribute the flyers that they have created for this event. He thanked Mr. E. Lewis for getting the newsletter out as it's a big part of the outreach. He mentioned that we do hope that Councilmember Blumenfeld will come by. He also hopes that the City will be providing their trucks to pick up larger items. He asked that people show up and bring friends.

2. Mr. Lace asked where our central meeting place was.

3. Mr. Ubersax confirmed it would be Winnetka Park. He shared that they have a \$1000 budget for this event and will have drink and snacks available and pizza. He reviewed the budget and what he believes they will need.

4. Mr. Popowich suggested that we vote on each item separately in May to approve it. Mr. Popowich explained why.

5. Mr. Ubersax confirmed he understood. He said he would likely be using Valentino's and Smart & Final.

6. Mr. Perez said he would like to see us use other vendors.

7. Mr. Ubersax said that we have used other vendors in the past and it's up to the Committee.

8. Ms. Reyes-Dunn asked if we have streets identified.

9. Mr. Ubersax commented that we will play it by ear. We need people to step up and start to help plan these events.

10. Ms. Reyes-Dunn asked about cleaning up near Smart & Final.

11. Mr. Poer said that he has sent several emails to a Boy Scout Troop and hasn't gotten any response.

12. Mr. Ubersax moved on to a discussion about the DWP Bill of Rights and mentioned that the Committee had come up with a template of issues to be discussed and share it without community to get their input. At this time they are not moving on it but may address it later.

13. Mr. Ubersax said that our former VP asked the Committee to talk about discussing safety concerns with the Department of Sanitation. He referenced the recent crash into the donut shop and the former VP concerns about speeding.

14. Mr. Ubersax also discussed the FAST organization who wants to look at what can be done to keep cars and busses moving as quickly as possible. He is going to invite the Executive Director of the organization to talk to the Committee. Some ideas to be discussed are placement of bus stops and parking and looking at changing things so that the traffic can flow more smoothly.

15. Mr. Ubersax also stated that Councilmember's Legislative Officer said the bike lanes on Winnetka were brought up and we were asking whether those lanes will be restored. He reported that the City is moving to do a proper traffic study to get done to restore the parking and the bike lanes.

d. **Outreach & Social Media Committee (OSM) Report** (Lewis) [15 min]

NOTICE: The OSM Committee Meeting: TBD (Location to be decided)

i. Mr. Lewis commented he hopes to do a Summer Newsletter. He is asking for submissions.

e. **Youth Advocacy Committee (YAC) Report** (Lace) [15 min]

i. Mr. Lace commented that the minutes should show it always at Limerick when they meet. Mr. Lace said that Limerick is really looking to support the community with meeting locations. He also stated that Sunnybrae also needs to fulfill its public meeting locations.

ii. Mr. Solis suggested that Mr. Lace work with the LAPD Cadets on nay programs where youth need to help.

f. **Budget & Finance Committee (B&F) Report** (Popowich) [20 min]

NOTICE: The B&F Committee Meeting: TBD (Location to be decided)

i. No Report this month

g. **Rule, Elections & By-Laws Committee (REB) Report** (Hill) [5 min]

NOTICE: The REB Committee Meeting: TBD (Location to be decided)

i. No Report this month

h. **Budget Advocate/Budget Rep Report** (?) [5 min]

i. LA City budget day is June 24th 7:30am to 4:00pm at City Hall. (Gregorchuk) [5mins]

15. OFFICER & LIAISON REPORTS

a. President's Report (Popowich) [5 min]

b. Secretary's Report (Gregorchuk/Green) [4 min]

i. Attendance report.

c. LA City Council District 3 Beautification Action Team Liaison Report: (No Rep) [5 min]

d. LA DWP Memorandum of Understanding Oversight Committee Report (Uebersax) [5min]

e. LA Neighborhood Council Coalition (LANCC) Report: (Uebersax): [5 min]

i. Discussion and possible action regarding a recommendation by LANCC for a Council File declaration as described below. The Board will discuss whether to take action, refer to committee or arrange for a presentation and action at a later time.

CF 16-0187, CF 17-0166 The Los Angeles Neighborhood Council (LANCC) (XXXXXX Neighborhood Council) opposes Mayor Eric Garcetti's proposal to close part of the City's \$250 million budget gap for next year by using an estimated \$50 million of Local

Return money from Measure M, the permanent half cent increase in our sales tax that was approved by 71% of voters in November, 2016. We support that the funds be evenly distributed between the Council Districts. We further recommend that Measure M Local Return Funds be used to supplement city budget funding to repair our sidewalks and pave our streets as presented to voters in Measure M campaign outreach. We also support that the Neighborhood Councils have a more active role in the decisions of how the funds will be spent.

1. Mr. Ubersax commented that there was a half cent tax from a previous Measure R and that Measure M extended that with another half cent. These funds were to be spent on valley projects such as light rail and other traffic and street improvements. As an example the City of Agoura hills got a new overpass. However, the City of LA is not allowing the communities to have as ay in where the funds will be spent. The City is making those decisions. He said that Councilmember Blumenfeld has two motions that were presented to LANCC to address local control. He said that LANCC’s motion – presented above – is what he wanted to share with us for discussion.

2. Mr. Fuhrman asked if we are looking at the Mayor’s plan to take money against for what it was voted before.

3. Mr. Ubersax said yes.

4. Mr. Fuhrman made a motion to approve adoption of the following motion:

WNC-041817-05: The Winnetka NC opposes Mayor Eric Garcetti’s proposal to close part of the City’s \$250 million budget gap for next year by using an estimated \$50 million of Local Return money from Measure M, the permanent half cent increase in our sales tax that was approved by 71% of voters in November, 2016. We support that the funds be evenly distributed between the Council Districts. We further recommend that Measure M Local Return Funds be used to supplement city budget funding to repair our sidewalks and pave our streets as presented to voters in Measure M campaign outreach. We also support that the Neighborhood Councils have a more active role in the decisions of how the funds will be spent.

5. Mr. E. Lewis seconded.

6. Mr. Popowich asked about discussion.

7. Mr. Fuhrman commented he is appalled that politicians would have the gall to try to do something that is against the public’s vote.

Board Member	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent	Not Eligible
Ajantha Sriramya				X	
Carlos Perez	X				
David Uebersax	X				

Eric Lewis	X				
Erick Lace	X				
Franklin Solis	X				
Jaime Pelaez				X	
JJ Popowich	X				
John Poer	X				
Jonathan Lewis				X	
Matthew Gregorchuck				X	
Steven Fuhrman	X				
Tamicka Green	X				
Tess Reyes-Dunn	X				
Vacant					
TOTALS:	10	0	0	4	

- 8. Motion passes: 10-0-0
- 9. Mr. Popowich asked Mr. Poer to file the CIS

- f. LA Public Works Liaison Report: (Uebersax) [5 min]
- g. Mayor's Budget Representatives Report (Gregorchuk) [5 min]
- h. Reserve Animal Control Officer (RACO) Liaison Report (Vacant) [5 min]
- i. Valley Alliance of Neighborhood Councils (VANC) Report: (Solis) [5 Min]

16. GOOD OF THE ORDER [2 min]

- a. Mr. Popowich talked about the old Pacific Bell building
- b. Mr. Poer commented that there is a City law that prohibited parking on the parkway between the sidewalk and the street. This was suspended and is now allowed.

17. MEETING ADJOURNED

THE AMERICAN WITH DISABILITIES ACT

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and, upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services and activities. Sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices and other auxiliary aids and/or services, may be provided upon request. To ensure availability of services, please make your request at least 3 business days (72 hours) prior to the meeting you wish to attend by contacting the either:

- The Winnetka NC Board Secretary via email at secretary@winnetkanc.com, or
- The Winnetka NC President at 818-648-6219, or via email at jpopowich@winnetkanc.com.

PUBLIC ACCESS OF RECORDS

In compliance with Government Code section 54957.5, non-exempt writings that are distributed to a majority or all of the board in advance of a meeting may be viewed at our website: www.winnetkanc.com or at the scheduled meeting. In addition, if you would like a copy of any record related to an item on the agenda, please contact the Winnetka NC Board Secretary via email at secretary@winnetkanc.com.

RECONSIDERATION AND GRIEVANCE PROCESS

The Board may reconsider and amend its action on items listed on the agenda if that reconsideration takes place immediately following the original action or at the next regular meeting. The Board, on either of these two days, shall: (1) Make a Motion for Reconsideration and, if approved, (2) hear the matter and Take an Action.

If the motion to reconsider an action is to be scheduled at the meeting following the original action, then two items shall be placed on the agenda for that meeting: (1) A Motion for Reconsideration on the described matter and (2) a {Proposed} Action should the motion to reconsider be approved. A Board member who has previously voted on the prevailing side of the original action taken can only make a motion for reconsideration.

If a motion for reconsideration is not made on the date the action was taken, then a Board member on the prevailing side of the action must submit a memorandum to the Secretary identifying the matter to be reconsidered and a brief description of the reason(s) for requesting reconsideration at the next regular meeting. The aforesaid shall all be in compliance with the Brown Act.

PROCESS FOR FILING A GRIEVANCE

Any grievance by a Stakeholder must be submitted in writing to the Secretary of the Board of Directors. The Secretary shall then within no more than 30 days refer the matter to an ad-hoc grievance panel comprised of 3 Stakeholders who are randomly selected by the Council Secretary from a list of Stakeholders who have previously expressed an interest in serving from time to time on such a grievance panel. The Secretary will coordinate a time and a place, not to exceed 10 days, for the panel to meet with the person(s) submitting a grievance and to discuss ways in which the dispute may be resolved.

Thereafter, a panel member shall promptly prepare a written report to be forwarded by the Secretary to the Board to be heard at the next board meeting outlining the panel's collective recommendations for resolving the grievance. The Board of Directors may receive a copy of the panel's report and recommendations prior to any meeting by the Board, but the matter shall not be discussed among the Board members until the matter is heard at the next regular meeting of the Board pursuant to the Ralph M. Brown Act.

This formal grievance process is not intended to apply to Stakeholders who simply disagree with a position or action taken by the Board at one of its meetings. Those grievances can be aired at the Board meetings. This grievance process is intended to address matters involving procedural disputes, e.g., the Board's failure to comply with the Board's Rules or Bylaws, or its failure to comply with the City's Charter, the Plan, local ordinances, state law, and/or federal law.

In the event that a grievance cannot be resolved through this grievance process, the complainant has the right to appeal the matter to the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment for consideration or dispute resolution.

SERVICIOS DE TRADUCCION

SI REQUIERE SERVICIOS DE TRADUCCION, FAVOR DE NOTIFICAR A LA OFICINA 3 Dias de trabajo (72 horas) ANTES DEL EVENTO. SI NECESITA AYUDA CON ESTA AGENDA, POR FAVOR LLAME A NUESTRA OFICINA AL (310) 562-3268.